This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

Preparation, thermal and vibrational studies of [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(L)] (L=H₀, py, DMF and Et_N)

S. A. Sadeek^a; S. M. Teleb^a; M. S. Refat^b; M. A. F. Elmosallamy^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt ^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Education, Suez Canal University, Egypt

To cite this Article Sadeek, S. A., Teleb, S. M., Refat, M. S. and Elmosallamy, M. A. F.(2005) 'Preparation, thermal and vibrational studies of [UO₃(acac-o-phdn)(L)] (L=H₂O, py, DMF and Et₂N)', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 58: 12, 1077 - 1085

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/0095897050012279 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0095897050012279

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



Preparation, thermal and vibrational studies of $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(L)]$ (L=H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N)

S. A. SADEEK[†], S. M. TELEB[†], M. S. REFAT^{*}[‡] and M. A. F. ELMOSALLAMY[†]

[†]Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt [‡]Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Education, Port-Said, Suez Canal University, Egypt

(Received 30 July 2004; in final form 30 March 2005)

Four new dioxouranium(VI) complexes, $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(L)]$ where $L = H_2O$, py, DMF and Et_3N , with the tetradentate dibasic Schiff base (acac-o-phdn), derived from condensation of acetylacetone with o-phenylene diamine have been synthesized. The infrared spectra were obtained and full assignments of all the observed vibrations are proposed on the basis of C_{2v} symmetry for H_2O and py complexes and C_s for the other two complexes, respectively. The bond stretching force constant and bond length of the U=O bond for the four complexes were calculated. Differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of the complexes were also carried out.

Keywords: Dioxouranium(VI) complexes; Infrared spectra; DTA; TG; Schiff base

1. Introduction

Since the discovery of the oxygen-carrying properties of Co(II) Schiff-base complexes [1], complexation reactions of transition elements with Schiff bases have been studied extensively [2–8]. Very little work has appeared on complex formation of actinides with dihydroxy Schiff bases [8–11] and detailed vibrational studies of this class of compounds appear to be rare [12–14]. In most cases the assignments are mainly focused on the azomethine C=N and phenolic C–O bond vibrations of the Schiff base.

Herein, we report the preparation of four new dioxouranium(VI) Schiff-base complexes, $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(H_2O)]$, $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(py)]$, $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(DMF)]$ and $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(Et_3N)]$ (acac-o-phdn = N,N'-o-phenylenebis(acetyl-acetonatoiminato). The infrared spectra of these complexes are recorded and assignments for most of the fundamental vibrations are proposed. The differential thermal analyses of these four complexes were also carried out.

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: msrefat@yahoo.com

2. Experimental

All chemicals were analytical reagent grade. The Schiff base, acac-o-phdn H_2 [N,N'o-phenylenebis(acetylacetoneimine)], was prepared according to published methods [15] from condensation of o-phenylenediamine with acetylacetone in ethyl alcohol as a solvent. The separated precipitate was filtered, washed with alcohol and dried under vacuum at room temperature. The Schiff base was characterized through its infrared and elemental analysis. The brown uranyl Schiff-base complex, [UO₂(acaco-phdn)(H₂O)], was prepared from the addition of 0.848 g of uranyl acetate dihydrate (2 mmol) in acetone to 0.544 g of the Schiff base (2 mmol) in acetone. The mixture was then stirred at room temperature for about 4h. The brown crystalline product was filtered off, washed several times with acetone and dried under vacuum. The other three complexes $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(py)]$ (yellowish orange), $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(py)]$ phdn)(DMF)] (yellowish orange) and [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(Et₃N)] (yellow), were prepared in a similar way to that described above by the reaction of $UO_2(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ and the Schiff base together with pyridine, dimethylformamide or triethylamine, respectively in a molar ratio 1:1:2 using acetone as a solvent. The complexes formed were characterized through their elemental analysis and infrared spectra. Analysis; [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(H₂O)]: C, 34.35(34.40); H, 3.61(3.58); N, 5.10(5.01), [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(py)]: C, 40.52(40.71); H, 3.79(3.71); N, 6.65(6.78), [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(DMF)]: C, 37.30(37.19); H, 4.16(4.07); N, 6.73(6.85), [UO₂(acaco-phdn)(Et₃N)]: C, 41.30(41.18); H, 5.30(5.14); N, 6.45(6.55). The calculated values are shown in parentheses.

2.1. Spectroscopic measurements

The infrared spectra of the Schiff base and the uranyl complexes were recorded from KBr discs using a Perkin-Elmer 1430 ratio recording infrared spectrophotometer.

2.2. Thermal analysis measurements

Differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of the uranyl complexes were measured using a Shimadzu computerized thermal analysis system DT-40. The system includes programs which process data from the thermal analyzer with the Chromatpac C-R3A. The rate of heating of the sample was kept at 10° C min⁻¹ using a static atmosphere. Calcinated Al₂O₃ at 1200°C was applied as a reference.

3. Results and discussion

The infrared spectra of the complexes $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(L)]$ (L = H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N) in KBr discs are recorded, figure 1. The vibrational assignments of all the observed infrared bands are given in table 1.

It has been established [16, 17] that the Schiff base derived from acetylacetone exists as a tautomeric equilibrium mixture of two structures; phenolimine and



Figure 1. The infrared spectra of $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(L)]$; A: $L = H_2O$; B: L = py; C: L = DMF; D: $L = Et_3N$.

ketoamine, structure (I) and (II), respectively. Furthermore, it was concluded [16] that the bases derived from aromatic amines exist in the phenolimine form and those of aliphatic origin prefer the ketoamine form. In complexes the aliphatic Schiff bases also exist in the phenolimine form [18], as indicated by no band in the infrared spectra that could be assigned to ν (N–H) vibration.



	cr	n ⁻¹			
L=H ₂ O	Ру	DMF	Et_3N	Assignments	
3540m				$\nu(H_2O)$; coord.	
3440m	3445m br	3445m br	3445m br	$\nu(H_2O)$; uncoord.	
3200w	3190w	3110w	3180m	$\nu(C-H)$; phenyl and py	
3100w	3110m	3050w	3120vw		
3070w	3080m		3050vw		
	3030w				
2984w	3000w	2995m	2980vw	ν (C–H): =CH–, CH ₂ , CH ₂	
2960vw	2970w	2955w	2940w	·(• ···,, •···;, •··· <u>2</u>	
2920w	2930w	2877w	2850w		
2870w	29000	2825w	200011		
207011		1667vs		$\nu(C=0)$: DMF	
1630ms		100775		$\delta(H_2O)$	
1600ms				0(1120)	
1560vs	1567vs	1570vs	1565vs	$\nu(C-N)$	
1517s	1522vs	1517vs	1517vs	Phenyl breathing modes	
1456vs	1322V3 1450s	14350	1460m	Thenyi breathing modes	
1428ms	1430ms	1410m	1440m		
1420115 1405m	14501115	1410W	1420m		
1200m	1261.00	1280m	1205mg	CU deformation: CU CU and CU	
1390111	130178	1267m	13931115	CII deformation, CII, -CII2 and -CII3	
		125610	13728		
1279	1279-	1350v8	13308	u(C, C) $u(C, Q)$ and $u(C, N)$	
12/8m	12788	12078	1280m	$\nu(C-C)$, $\nu(C-O)$ and $\nu(C-N)$	
124/m	1220ms	1185W	1255VW		
1160ms	1160m	1150W	1220VW		
llloms		111/ms	11/2vw		
1045	1070	1070	1110VW		
1045m	10/0ms	10/0m	1055m	CH, bend; phenyl	
1025m	1020s	1020s	1025ms		
1005w	985m	1010m	978m		
970w	955m		955ms		
912vs	910vs	905vs	905vs	$v_{as}(U=O)$	
833vw	833m	839w	835m	$v_{\rm s}(U=O)$	
785w	795ms	780ms	780m	CH, bend, phenyl	
760ms	770m		710w		
	705ms				
670s	680vw	670ms	665s	-CH in-plane quadrant bend; phenyl	
600w	655m	650ms	610w		
	630ms	600w			
525w	530m	525ms	520s	$v_{as}(U-O)$; O of Schiff base	
500w	495vw	490w	490m	$\nu_{\rm s}$ (U–O); O of Schiff base	
475m			480m		
			460ms	$\nu_{\rm s}$ (U–N); N of Et ₃ N	
	430m			$\nu_{s}(U-N)$; N of py	
420 m	410s	408s	415s	$v_{as}(U-N)$; N of Schiff base	
390w	390w	393w	385w	$\nu_{s}(U-N)$; N of Schiff base	
310w		360m		$\nu_{s}(U-O)$: O of DMF and H ₂ O	

Table 1. Infrared frequencies (cm $^{-1}$) and tentative assignments for [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(L)] (L=H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N).

Key: s = strong; w = weak; m = medium; v = very; br = broad; v = stretching; $\delta = bending$.

In metal chelates, the Schiff base and the ligand (L) coordinate to the U(VI) in such a way that the four donor atoms of the Schiff base and the donor atom of the ligand (L) occupy equatorial positions around the central metal atom U(VI), forming a plane containing the six-membered rings. The two oxygen atoms of the uranyl group occupy axial positions, as shown in structure (III).



The coplanarity of the metal chelate rings and the proposed axial positions of the uranyl group oxygens are supported by analogous complexes [9, 19, 20].

According to the proposed structure for the compounds under investigation, the first two complexes [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(H₂O)] and [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(py)] possess a two-fold axis and a plane of symmetry and hence they are C_{2v} symmetry. The other two complexes [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(DMF)] and [UO₂(acac-o-phdn) (Et₃N)] possess a lower symmetry (one plane) and belong to C_s symmetry. The infrared spectrum of the H₂O complex, figure 1(A), shows differences compared with the spectra of the other complexes. Beside the medium band at 3540 cm⁻¹ which is characteristic for the coordinated H₂O, there are two medium bands at 1630 and 1600 cm⁻¹ as expected [21] for bending vibrations of coordinated H₂O. These three bands are absent from the spectra of the other complexes. The DMF complex in its infrared spectrum, figure 1(C), shows a very strong absorption band at 1667 cm⁻¹ characteristic for DMF. The spectra of the complexes of py and Et₃N, figures 1(B) and 1(D), show the ν (U–N) (N of py and Et₃N) at 430 and 460 cm⁻¹, respectively.

3.1. Band assignments

The C_{2v} complexes, $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(H_2O)]$ and $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(py)]$ are expected to display 126 and 150 vibrational fundamentals which are all monodegenerate. These are distributed between A₁, A₂, B₁ and B₂ motions; all are i.r and Raman active, except for the A_2 modes which are only Raman active. Under such symmetry, the four vibrations of the uranyl unit, UO_2 , in both complexes are of the type $2A_1$, B_1 and B_2 , $\nu_s(U=O)$, A_1 ; $\nu_{as}(U=O)$, B_1 ; $\delta(UO_2)$, A_1 ; and $\delta(UO_2)$, B_2 . The C_s complexes, [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(DMF)] and [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(Et₃N)] should display 153 and 183 vibrational motions, respectively. The vibrational motions are distributed between motions of the type A' and A"; all are monodegenerate and infrared active. The four vibrations of the UO₂ unit in the two complexes are 3A' and A''. These are ν_s (U=O), A'; $v_{as}(U=O)$, A'; $\delta(UO_2)$, A'; and $\delta(UO_2)$, A". The data given in table 1, show that $v_{as}(U=O)$ in these complexes occurs as a single very strong band at 912, 910, 905 and 905 cm⁻¹ for H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N complexes, respectively. The v_s (U=O) vibration occurs at 833, 833, 839 and 835 cm⁻¹ for these complexes. These assignments for the stretching vibration of the uranyl group, UO_2 , agree quite well with those known for many dioxouranium(VI) complexes [11, 14]. The ν_s (U=O) values were used to calculate both the bond length and force constant, $F_{U=0}$, for the UO₂ bonds in the four complexes according to the known method [11, 14]. The calculated bond length values are 1.743, 1.743, 1.739 and 1.741 A°, while the calculated force constant values are 671.3, 671.3, 681 and 674.5 Nm⁻¹ for the H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N complexes, respectively. These values agree well with previously reported values for similar dioxouranium(VI) Schiff base complexes [22, 23]. For [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(L)] (L = H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N), the ligand (L) has no effect on the values of $F_{U=O}$ and U=O bond length.

The stretching vibrations ν (C–H) of the phenyl groups in these complexes are assigned as a number of bands in the region 3200–3030 cm⁻¹. The corresponding vibrations ν (C–H) of the =CH–, CH₂ and CH₃ units are observed in the range 3000–2825 cm⁻¹. The assignments of all the C–H stretching vibrations agree quite well with the expected [24].

Two medium strong bands characteristic of bending vibrations $\delta(H_2O)$ of the coordinated H_2O molecule are observed at 1630 and 1600 cm⁻¹. The very strong absorption band due to $\nu(C=O)$ of DMF in the complex [UO₂ (acac-o-phdn)(DMF)] occurs as expected at 1667 cm⁻¹. The azomethine group stretching vibration $\nu_{as}(C=N)$ appears in the usual range reported for similar compounds [16], as a very strong band at 1560, 1567, 1570 and 1565 cm⁻¹ for the complexes of H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N, respectively. This vibration belongs to B₂ symmetry in the case of the two complexes H₂O and py (C_{2v} symmetry) and to A' symmetry in the case of the other two complexes (C_s symmetry). The differences in the values of $\nu(C=N)$ in all complexes under investigation is very small, which indicates that the change in symmetry has no pronounced effect on the $\nu(C=N)$ values.

A group of medium to strong bands lying in the range $1522-1405 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ could be assigned to the phenyl breathing vibrations in all the complexes, table 1. The CH deformation motions of the =CH, and -CH₃ groups occur as a medium band at 1390 cm^{-1} for the H₂O complex, and as a very strong band at 1361 cm^{-1} for the py complex. The corresponding CH deformations of the =CH, -CH₂ and -CH₃ in the other two complexes DMF and Et₃N occur as a number of bands of different intensities in the region $1395-1350 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The ν (C-C), ν (C-O) and ν (C-N) stretching vibrations in all complexes are assigned to a large number of bands lying in the $1280-1110 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ region. The CH bending vibrations of the four complexes are assigned to the group of bands occurring in the $1070-705 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ region. The CH in-plane bends of the phenyl groups in all complexes appear as a number of bands of varying intensities in the region of $680-600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, table 1.

Crystal structures [9, 19] of some analogous oxouranium (VI) Schiff base complexes showed that U–O bonds are stronger (shorter) than the corresponding U–N (O and N of the Schiff base). Accordingly the ν (U–O) (O of acac-o-phdn) is assigned at higher frequency compared with ν (U–N). Table 1 shows that, the ν_{as} (U–O) occurs in all complexes around 525 cm⁻¹, while the ν_s (U–O) vibrations occur in the 500–475 cm⁻¹ region. The bands at 420, 410, 408 and 415 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the ν_{as} (U–N) vibrations in the complexes of H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N, respectively, while the ν_s (U–N) vibrations are assigned to the weak bands around 390 cm⁻¹ for all of these complexes. The stretching vibration ν (U–N) (N of Et₃N) occurs as a medium strong band at 460 cm⁻¹, while the medium band at 430 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the ν (U–N) (N of py). Finally, the stretching vibration ν (U–O) (O of DMF and H₂O) is assigned at 360 and 310 cm⁻¹ for the DMF and H₂O complexes, respectively. The assignments of these bands agree quite well with the literature [16, 23, 25]. $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(L)]$ 1083

To provide further information on the proposed formulas and structures for the complexes, thermogravimetric (TG) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) were carried out under N₂ flow. DTA thermograms and TG curves are shown in figure 2(A–D). Table 2 gives the maximum temperature values, $T_{\rm max}/(^{\circ}C)$, together with the corresponding weight loss for each step of the decomposition reactions of the complexes. The obtained data strongly support the structures proposed for the complexes. Thermal degradation for the complex, $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(H_2O)]$ exhibits two main degradation steps. The first occurs at 193°C, accompanied by weight loss of 3.30% corresponding to the loss of coordinated water. Theoretically, the loss of this molecule corresponds to a weight loss of 3.22%. The dehydration process is accompanied by a strong endothermic effect [26]. The second decomposition step occurs at two different temperatures; 230 and 278°C. These are attributed to the rupture of the U-N and U-O bonds (N and O of acac-o-phdn). The weight loss associated with these stages is 49.05% due to the loss of $8C_2H_2 + N_2 + H_2O + 1/2O_2$ molecules in agreement with the theoretical weight loss value of 48.38%, giving the final product, UO_2 . The infrared spectrum of the final product of the thermal analysis supports these conclusions. Accordingly, the mechanism for the thermal decomposition of the complex, $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(H_2O)]$, is as follows:

$$[\text{UO}_2(\text{acac-o-phdn})(\text{H}_2\text{O})] \xrightarrow{193^{\circ}\text{C}} [\text{UO}_2(\text{acac-o-phdn})] + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$
$$[\text{UO}_2(\text{acac-o-phdn})] \xrightarrow{230, 278^{\circ}\text{C}} \text{UO}_2 + 8\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 + \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 1/2\text{O}_2$$



Figure 2. Thermal analysis diagrams of $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(L)]$; A: $L = H_2O$; B: L = py; C: L = DMF; D: $L = Et_3N$.

Table 2. The maximum temperature, $T_{max}/^{\circ}C$, and weight loss values of the decomposition stages for [UO₂(acac-o-phdn)(L)] (L = H₂O, py, DMF and Et₃N) complexes.

				% Weight losses	
[UO ₂ (acac-o-phdn)(L)]	Decomposition	$T_{ m max}/^{\circ}{ m C}$	Lost species	Found	Calcd
$L = H_2O$	First stage Second stage	193°C 230, 278°C	$\begin{array}{c} H_2O \\ 8C_2H_2 + H_2O + N_2 + 1/2O_2 \end{array}$	3.30 49.05	3.22 48.38
L = py	First stage Second stage	177°C 234, 290°C	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5C_2H_2 + 1/2N_2 \\ 8C_2H_2 + H_2O + N_2 + 1/2O_2 \end{array}$	13.12 44.67	12.76 43.61
L = DMF	First stage Second stage	165°C 229, 283°C	$\begin{array}{c} C_2H_6 + 1/2N_2 + CO + 1/2H_2 \\ 8C_2H_2 + H_2O + N_2 + 1/2O_2 \end{array}$	12.23 45.12	11.91 44.04
$L = Et_3N$	First stage Second stage	224°C 260, 302°C	$\begin{array}{c} 3C_2H_4 + NH_3 \\ 8C_2H_2 + H_2O + N_2 + 1/2O_2 \end{array}$	16.69 43.15	15.75 42.12

$$[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(L)]$$
 1085

Thermal decompositions of the other three complexes $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(L)]$ (L = py, DMF and Et₃N) also exhibit two main degradation steps. The first stage of decomposition occurring at 177, 165 and 224°C is accompanied by a weight loss of 13.12, 12.23 and 16.69%, corresponding to the loss of pyridine, dimethylformamide and triethylamine molecules, respectively, in agreement with the theoretical values of 12.76, 11.91 and 15.75%. The second decomposition stage is associated with the remaining molecular unit, $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)]$. This step occurs at two maxima as expected, since the unit (acac-o-phdn) ligand coordinates with uranium through two different donor atoms (N and O). The infrared spectra of the final product of the thermal analysis for all above complexes supported these conclusions. The mechanisms for the thermal decompositions of the three complexes are as follows:

- (i) $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(py)] \xrightarrow{177^{\circ}C} [UO_2(acac-o-phdn)] + 2.5C_2H_2 + 1/2 N_2$ $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)] \xrightarrow{234,290^{\circ}C} UO_2 + 8C_2H_2 + N_2 + H_2O + 1/2O_2$
- (ii) $[UO_2(acac-o-phdn)(DMF)] \xrightarrow{165^{\circ}C} [UO_2(acac-o-phdn)] + C_2H_6$

$$+CO + 1/2N_2 + 1/2H_2$$

$$[UO_{2}(acac-o-phdn)] \xrightarrow{224^{\circ}C} UO_{2} + 8C_{2}H_{2} + N_{2} + H_{2}O + 1/2O_{2}$$

(iii)
$$[UO_{2}(acac-o-phdn)(Et_{3}N)] \xrightarrow{224^{\circ}C} [UO_{2}(acac-o-phdn)] + 3C_{2}H_{4} + NH_{3}$$

$$[UO_{2}(acac-o-phdn)] \xrightarrow{260,302^{\circ}C} UO_{2} + 8C_{2}H_{2} + N_{2} + H_{2}O + 1/2O_{2}$$

References

- [1] T. Tsumaki. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 13, 252 (1938).
- [2] S. Yamada. Coord. Chem. Rev. (Netherlands), 1, 415 (1966).
- [3] N.F. Curtis. Coord. Chem. Rev. (Netherlands), 3, 3 (1968).
- [4] A. Syamal, B.K. Gupta, S. Ahmad. Indian J. of Pure and Applied Physics, 20, 426 (1982).
- [5] W.I. Azeez, A.F. Kazzer. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 465, 221 (1980).
- [6] R.J. Hill, C.E.F. Rickard. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 40, 793 (1978).
- [7] R.E. Hester, E.M. Nour. J. Raman Spectrosc., 11, 49 (1981).
- [8] J.E. Kovacic. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 23, 183 (1967).
- [9] G. Bandoli, D.A. Clement, V. Croatto, M. Vidali, P.V. Vigato. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 2331 (1973).
- [10] E.M. Nour, A.N. Abd El-Rahaman. Acta Chim. Hung., 116, 377 (1984).
- [11] S.P. McGlynn, J.K. Smith, W.C. Neely. J. Chem. Phys., 35, 105 (1961).
- [12] M. Datta, D.H. Brown, W.E. Smith. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 39, 37 (1983).
- [13] A. Bigotto, E. Reisenhofer, R. Giordani. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 40, 203 (1984).
- [14] L.H. Jones. Spectrochim. Acta, 15, 409 (1959).
- [15] P.J. McCarthy, R.J. Hovey, K. Ueno, A.E. Martell. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 77, 5820 (1955).
- [16] K. Ueno, A.E. Martell. J. Phys. Chem., 59, 998 (1955).
- [17] G.O. Dudeck, R.H. Holm. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 83, 2099, 3914 (1961).
- [18] R.H. Holm, G.W. Everett, A. Chakravorty. Prog. Inorg. Chem., 7, 83 (1966).
- [19] G. Bandoli, D.A. Clemente, V. Croatto, M. Vidali, P.V. Vigato. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1330 (1971).
- [20] E.W. Hughes, C.H. Barkelew, M. Calvin. OEMSr-279, March, 15 (1944).
- [21] E.M. Nour, A.A. Taha, I.S. Alnaimi. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 141, 139 (1988).
- [22] S.P. McGlynn, J.K. Smith. J. Mol. Spectrosc., 6, 164 (1961).
- [23] L.J. Bellamy. The Infrared Spectra of Complex molecules, Chapman and Hall, London (1975).
- [24] H. Almadfa, A.A. Said, E.M. Nour. Bull. Soc. Chem. Fr., 128, 137 (1991).
- [25] E.M. Nour, A.M. Al-Kority, S.A. Sadeek, S.M. Teleb. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 23(1), 39 (1993).
- [26] T. Hatakeyama, L. Zhenhai. Handbook of Thermal Analysis, John Wiley, Son Ltd., Chichester, England (1998).